SINGLE BENCH

004

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)
W.P. (S) No. 7563 /2006

Petitioners : 1. Smt. Anjalina John
Aged about 38 years
W/o Shri J.K.John
D/o Shri J.D.Manghoghar
Asst. Teacher Govt. Primary
School Hirri Mines,
Block – Thakatpur,
Dist : Bilaspur-(C.G.)
R/o Om Nagar, Jarhabhata
Bilaspur, Dist : Bilaspur-
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 6826/06
Presented by Shri Ashok Soni
dated 28-11-06

2. Smt. Sanjlina Francis,
Aged about 36 years,
W/o Philip Francis,
D/o Shri J.D.Manghoghar
Assistant Teacher Govt.
Primary School Jarhabhata
Block – Bilha, Dist :
Bilaspur-(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
28/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. Smt. Sangeeta Das
Aged about 35 years
W/o Robinson Das,
Asst. Teacher Govt. Middle
School Chana Dongri
R/o Om Nagar Jarhabhata,
Bilaspur-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Secretary
Department of Education,
Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-1073/96
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2. The Director Public Instruction, Raipur-(C.G.)
3. The District Education Officer, Distt : Bilaspur-(C.G.)
4. The Block Education Officer, Takhatpur, Dist : Bilaspur-(C.G.)
5. The Block Education Officer, Bilha, Dist : Bilaspur-(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER SECTION 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**
**W. P. (S) No. 7563 of 2006**

(34)

Petitioners : Smt. Anjalina John and others
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

---

Mr. Ashok Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

---

**O R D E R**

*(Passed on this 10th day of January, 2007)*

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Panel lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. (s) 5191 of 2006 (Har Prasad Kurre and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & another), which was disposed of vide order dated 3rd of October, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 3rd of October, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (s) 5191 of 2006. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu